# Structural correlates of language abilities: a surface-based region-of interest morphometry study

Institut universitaire en santé mentale de Québec

Didier Roehrich-Gascon, Steven L. Small, et Pascale Tremblay JCIRVINE (1) I. Université Laval, Québec, Canada, (2) Centre de recherche de l'IUSMQ, (3) University of California, Irvine, USA

# I.Introduction

Research on the neuronal substrate of expressive language has for a long time relied almost exclusively upon brain lesions studies. However, generalisation of these studies to healthy individuals is limited due to a lack of spatial resolution and potential for post-lesion neural reorganisation. With the development of new neuroimaging techniques, our understanding of normal cognitive function has greatly advanced. While a large number of studies have used functional imaging techniques to assess the relationships between brain activity and language skills, little is known about the relation between brain structure and language skills. The goal of the present study is to identify which cortical and subcortical regions, and which aspect of their structure, are correlated with language performance on two classical language tasks.

## II. Results

Results on language tasks are reported in **table II** 

The correlations between CT and surface of brain regions, and language scores are reported in **table III**. No correlations were found with the subcortical regions.

The correlations between the strucure of regions classically associated with language and language scores are presented in **figure 2** and **3**.

Table I. Language tasks results

### Fig. 3. Correlation between Verbal Fluency and brain structure

université LAVAL

◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇
◇





# I. Method

#### **2.1.** Participants

21 healthy right-handed adults (10 males) participated in this study, which took place in Chicago (IL) (table )

### Table Participants caracteristics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std dev.
Age	21	20	36	25.0	4.4
Handedness	20	12	20	17.0	2.7
Education	21	12	22	15.4	2.7

### 2.2 Tasks

Participants underwent two language tasks:

	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std dev.
Verbal fluency	21	26.5	59.0	39.7	9.6
Sentence generation	19	67.5	100.0	86.4	7.9

**Table Significant correlation between language tasks** and brain regions structure

	Task	Structure	Anatomical Region	r	р
Left Hemi	Sentence generation	thickness thickness thickness surface	cingular gyrus isthmus inf. par. gyrus supramarginal part sup. frontal gyrus rostral part post central sulcus	58 48 .51 .60	.009 .039 .025 .006
	Verbal fluency	thickness thickness surface	inf. frontal gyrus orbital part inferior frontal sulcus inf. frontal gyrus triangular part	68 58 .46	.001 .005 .035
Right Hemi	Sentence generation	thickness thickness surface	cingular gyrus isthmus frontomarginal sulcus frontomarginal sulcus	47 .64 .46	.045 .003 .048
	Verbal fluency	thickness thickness thickness	frontomarginal gyrus long insular gyrus short insular gyrus	.49 47 52	.023 .034 .015

#### Fig. 2. Correlation between Sentence

Sentence generation: generation of short sentences from a set of object pictures. The dependant variable is the percentage of correct sentences.

(2) **Verbal fluency**: production of as many animal and vegetables words as possible in 1 min (in two separate trials). The dependant variable is the number of correct words.

#### 2.3 Image Acquisition and Analysis

For each participant, data were acquired on a 3T General Electric Signa HDx MR system (166 slices, 1mm3, 256  $\times$  256 mm2 matrix).



#### 2.4 Image Analysis

Original

(T1) image

We used FreeSurfer to calculate the gray matter cortical thickness (CT) and surface of 88 cortical regions, and the grey matter volume of 8 subcortical regions in both hemispheres. The procedure is described in **figure I**.

Skull and meninges

removed

**FreeSurfer** 

### **Generation and brain structure**



## IV. Discussion

Generally, correlations were found between language tasks scores and several frontal, parietal and insular regions. This analysis highlighted the presence of task-related differences in the correlations of brain structure and measures of expressive language. Indeed, fluency scores tended to be correlated with regions located in the inferior part of the left frontal lobe while sentence generation scores showed correlations with the left inferior parietal cortex, post-central regions, and the right prefrontal lobe.

Importantly, CT was almost always negatively correlated with scores on both language tasks. This wasn't the case with the surface data, where positive correlations were predominant. A possible explanation is that thinner CT reflects a better pruning and/or myelinisation process during brain maturation associated with better language skills in term of production and comprehension, while wider cortical surface could be the result of a different mechanism, such as cerebral (structural) plasticity, in which a larger neuronal population is associated with better language skills.

### Fig. I. Procedure for anatomical images

Segmentation of

gray/white matter

Creation of 2D surfaces with a parcellation of 90+ anatomical regions

### V. Conclusion

Brain morphometric analysis is an interesting avenue for cognitive neuroscience research. The unique type of information it provides makes it a valuable tool for enhancing our understanding of the neuronal structure underlying language abilities.

## IV. Acknowledgement



National Institutes of Health NIH